

Form D Comments on the
Preliminary General Plan and DEIR



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area

Will Lowry <will.lowry23@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Tue, Jun 9, 2015 at 12:04 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whip snake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Will Lowry
308 Hill St.
San Francisco, CA 94114

will.lowry23@gmail.com



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan/Draft EIR - Save Tesla Park from Expansion

Sharon Reeve <sharon.reevelamesa@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 10:18 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Thank you,

Sharon Reeve



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Tesla Park

jesse rorabaugh <glmory@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 8:54 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Jesse Rorabaugh

434 Citrus Hill Lane, La Habra CA



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Tesla Expansion and General Plan

pete veilleux <pete@eastbaywilds.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com
Cc: friendsofteslapark@gmail.com

Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 2:16 PM

To whom it may concern:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whip snake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public

review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Sincerely yours,

Pete Veilleux
East Bay Wilds
510.409.5858
www.eastbaywilds.com



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Regarding Tesla Park**Myer Walden** <mwalden82@gmail.com>

Wed, Jun 10, 2015 at 8:34 PM

To: "CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com" <CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com>

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6) Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Myer Walden
San Diego, Ca



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR

Julie Morez <juliemorez@gmail.com>
To: carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com

Thu, Jun 11, 2015 at 12:40 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Sincerely,

Julie Morez

360 Sycamore Pl., Sierra Madre, CA 91024

juliemorez@gmail.com



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

David Rodriguez <orbitald@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Thu, Jun 11, 2015 at 10:49 AM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Sincerely,

David Rodriguez
Oakland, CA



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

CSVRA Public comment

David Tholfsen <dtholfsen@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Thu, Jun 11, 2015 at 10:36 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

Foot Traffic, maybe a few horses and well behaved bicyclists are all that should roam on park lands.
Thank you

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

David Tholfsen
771 Superior Ave
San Leandro, Ca 94577



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Do Not expand Carnegie SVRA into Tesla expansion area

Katharine Barrett <kdbarrett08@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Sat, Jun 13, 2015 at 3:09 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

I am a long-time resident of the area who has enjoyed frequent nature hikes and bird walks. The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive natural resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area however they desire, but it deprives the public of specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It severely compromises the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is worrisome because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no photographs of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use. That conclusion is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA, proves that the DEIR is flawed. The General Plan and DEIR use vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage to its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whip snake, Townsends Big-eared Bat, the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use.

I strongly oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Sincerely,

Katharine D. Barrett

31 Dos Posos

Orinda, CA 94563

925-254-2224

Kdbarrett08@gmail.com



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan Comments

Laura Thornton <laurathornton1@hotmail.com>

Tue, Jun 16, 2015 at 10:26 AM

To: "CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com" <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage to its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. **I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The entire expansion area needs to be designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.**

Laura Thornton

1570 Hoot Owl Ct.

Tracy, CA 95376

laurathornton1@hotmail.com



Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Carnegie SVRA Expansion into Tesla

Emily Joiner <eajoiner@sbcglobal.net>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Wed, Jun 17, 2015 at 10:32 AM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

I am writing to you as a resident of Livermore concerning the Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR regarding expansion into Tesla. It does not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area any way that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives or the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6).

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage to so many sensitive resources. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written. It needs to be revised to designate the entire expansion area as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

There are so many good reasons to preserve Tesla Park. I believe that we are to be stewards and protectors of the land, and not destroy it for our entertainment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Emily Joiner
490 Stanford Ct.
Livermore, CA 94550

California Department of Parks and Recreation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95816

Regarding: OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

I am a professor emeritus in the College of Natural Resources, University of California at Berkeley. I am very concerned that the Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive natural resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area however they desire, but it deprives the public of specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It severely compromises the CEQA process.

I strongly oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is worrisome because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no photographs of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use. That conclusion is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA, proves that the DEIR is flawed. The General Plan and DEIR use vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage to its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whip snake, Townsends Big-eared Bat, the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for OHV use.

Sincerely,



Reginald H. Barrett

31 Dos Posos
Orinda, CA 94563
925-254-2224
rbarrett@berkeley.edu



Please do NOT approve opening the Tesla area to off-road vehical or any other use

1 message

Nancy Hargiss <NHargiss@randicklaw.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Thu, Jun 18, 2015 at 12:47 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area anyway that they desire, but it deprives the public of needed specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It makes a mockery of the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is significant because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no pictures of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); you only need to compare the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA to prove that DEIR is not credible. The General Plan and DEIR attempt to substitute vague and unmeasurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whipsnake, Townsends Big-eared Bat the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use. I oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Thank you.

Nancy Hargiss

Livermore resident

Nancy Hargiss
Randick O'Dea & Tooliatos, LLP
5000 Hopyard Road, Suite 225 | Pleasanton, CA 94588-3348 | Telephone [925-460-3700](tel:925-460-3700) | Direct Line [925-251-2819](tel:925-251-2819) | Fax [925-460-0969](tel:925-460-0969)

Confidential Communication: This e-mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an

6/22/2015

Gmail - Please do NOT approve opening the Tesla area to off-road vehical or any other use

intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, Randick O'Dea & Tooliatos LLP informs you that, if any advice concerning one or more U.S. Federal tax issues is contained in this communication (including any attachments), such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

1475
Form D

Carnegie DEIR Comments <carnegiedeircomments@gmail.com>

Oppose expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area

1 message

Katharine Barrett <kdbarrett08@gmail.com>
To: CarnegieDEIRcomments@gmail.com

Fri, Jun 19, 2015 at 5:14 PM

To OHMVR Carnegie SVRA General Plan:

I am a long-time resident of the area who has enjoyed frequent nature hikes and bird walks. The Carnegie SVRA Preliminary General Plan and Draft EIR do not protect the sensitive natural resources in the expansion area, also referred to as the Alameda-Tesla property or Tesla. The General Plan and DEIR may provide Carnegie SVRA the maximum flexibility to develop OHV use in the existing DEIR and expansion area however they desire, but it deprives the public of specific information about the proposed plan and its impacts. It severely compromises the CEQA process.

The General Plan and DEIR do not describe the project in sufficient detail; this deficiency is worrisome because the General Plan and DEIR specifically state that further environmental review is not required if future projects are found to be consistent with the General Plan and DEIR. (GP 1-7; DEIR 1-2) The General Plan does not accurately describe Existing Conditions (Chapter 2); there is no mention that a Critical Linkage Habitat Corridor runs directly through Tesla and there are no photographs of the extensive damage from OHV use in Carnegie SVRA. (GP Chapter 2) The DEIR concludes there will be no significant impacts from converting 3,100 acres of agricultural grazing and natural resource conservation land to OHV use. That conclusion is not supported by evidence or analysis (DEIR Chapter 3); the damaging impacts from OHV use at the existing Carnegie SVRA, proves that the DEIR is flawed. The General Plan and DEIR use vague and un-measurable guidelines for required mitigation; the DEIR states that no mitigation beyond best management practices and unenforceable guidelines is required (DEIR Chapter 3). The DEIR does not consider any non-OHV use alternatives and the cumulative impacts from the existing Carnegie SVRA on the unique Corral Hollow ecosystem. (DEIR Chapter 4 and 6)

Opening the 3,100 acre Tesla expansion area to OHV use will result in irreparable damage to its sensitive resources which include California Red-Legged Frog, California Tiger Salamander, Western Pond Turtle, Yellow-legged Frog, Western Spadefoot Toad, Golden Eagle, Tule Elk, Alameda Whip snake, Townsends Big-eared Bat, the historic Tesla town site and coal mine, significant features from indigenous cultures, and much more. The Tesla expansion area is not suitable for damaging OHV use.

I strongly oppose the expansion of Carnegie SVRA into the Tesla expansion area. The General Plan and Draft EIR should not be approved or certified as written and need to be revised and recirculated for public review with the entire expansion area designated as a permanent preserve area with No-OHV use allowed.

Sincerely,

Katharine D. Barrett

31 Dos Posos

Orinda, CA 94563

[925-254-2224](tel:925-254-2224)

Kdbarrett08@gmail.com

